

Innovation in the Finance Function

Global Survey 2018

Insights from the FSN
Modern Finance Forum
on LinkedIn

FSN[®]
The Modern Finance Forum

© Copyright 2018 FSN Publishing Limited. All rights reserved





Daniel Mason
UK Managing Director,
Prophix

Dear Colleagues,

Every successful finance team is innovative. Innovation is the implementation of improved processes that reduce risk and return better results. Innovation is the application of technologies that free time and allow greater focus on the future. Innovation is what gets people excited about coming to work, creating pathways to leadership and attracting the best new recruits. But too often, innovation gets pushed to the bottom of the job pile – particularly in finance. Where once, finance was a hotbed of innovation, today too many teams are struggling under huge workloads and time pressures to step back and consider how to do things differently. Other functions have become the preferred targets for investment, in part because finance teams have been unable to make the case for investment in the back office over the front.

The result has been a deep imbalance in investment. An imbalance that threatens the stability of many organizations. Efficient, technology-enabled, customer-facing systems are outpacing the manual, human-driven back office, creating risk, bottlenecks, and a poverty of good management information on which to base strategic decisions.

It's almost 40 years since the first release of VisiCalc, the first spreadsheet program. Apple II users transported from the late 70s to the current day might be blown away by the graphics, speed and size of our modern machines, but they would find the principles fairly familiar. Despite all of the incredible technology that has surfaced since then, the most common way we manipulate and present numbers in business has seen only incremental change.

The best organizations are recognizing how much has changed in 40 years. As this report shows, the most innovative organizations are doing things better, finding ways to invest in better people, processes and technology, and reaping the rewards: faster close, quicker reforecasting, and greater accuracy.

If you have ambition, for your career or your function, then driving innovation is one of the best ways to realize that ambition. Finance needs innovation champions, making the case for investment and improvement. But more importantly, organizations need these champions. Without innovation, finance becomes a growing source of weakness in the business and an increasing risk to its success.

I hope the message that people take away from this report is that innovation is not a luxury, it is a critical part of business. And many finance functions are long overdue a wave of innovation.

Daniel Mason

Daniel Mason
UK Managing Director, Prophix

	Executive Summary	6
Chapter One	<i>Big difference in attitudes to innovation across the world</i>	10
Chapter Two	<i>Early adopters of innovation perform better</i>	14
Chapter Three	<i>Front and back – A balanced approach to innovation drives best overall performance</i>	19
Chapter Four	<i>Finance needs insightful innovation</i>	25
Chapter Five	<i>Innovation interference - no culture, no time, no measurement</i>	29
Chapter Six	<i>The recipe for successful innovation</i>	34
Chapter Seven	<i>In their own words - what innovation means to finance professionals</i>	38
	How our research was conducted	43
	About Prophix	46
	About FSN	47



Executive Summary

Innovation Survey Executive Brief

Innovation is the process of introducing new ideas or inventions to bring about more effective processes, products or ideas. In business, this could mean creating better products, improving services, or improving the processes that support these products or services. Throughout history, organizations have had to innovate to remain competitive, by inventing new products that people want or need, or by offering a better service than anyone else in the same industry. In the decades before the advent of ubiquitous computer technology, innovation occurred gradually. Since then though, it seems the pace of change has become so rapid, it's sometimes hard to keep up.

Organizations face a daunting future where existing competitors are constantly innovating, while new start-ups reinvent entire business models. Inertia is not an option, they must innovate, within the finance function and across the wider organization, or run the risk of obsolescence. And they need to do it now. Because early adopters of innovation in the finance function perform better.

True Innovators are finance functions that adopt technology early, encourage an active culture of innovation in their organizations, make the time to innovate and reward it. These are CFOs and finance executives who have stepped out from behind the finance desk to take an active role in innovation across the enterprise, not just within their own sphere of influence. True innovators can reforecast more quickly, close the books faster and forecast more accurately than those organizations that ignore innovation or find it difficult to drum up support, financing or time for it. True innovators embrace a culture of innovation, and because of this they have access to talent that can sustain their innovation agenda.

Balancing act

Even if companies do innovate, their decision on where and how to invest also affects performance. Customer-facing technology investment has long been the vanguard of new technologies. But organizations that continue to focus on front-office functions to the detriment of back-office systems, or worse, those that have no strategy for innovation investment at all, are slower and less accurate at forecasting than organizations with a balanced approach. This means looking at the organization in the round, identifying the need in both the front and back office, and ensuring resources are distributed in a balanced manner.

Those companies that approach technology investment with a balanced hand are better at nurturing innovation, they share ideas and skills, they are not afraid to make mistakes and innovation is a priority of their leadership. Balanced tech investors face fewer cultural obstacles like in-house politics or risk aversion, and they find it easier to identify tech-savvy talent to bring about change.

High hurdles

Innovation requires real change, and there are many obstacles to effecting that change. The most prolific are culture, time and a lack of credible and accurate measures of innovation success.

Culture can quickly inhibit change if there isn't a concerted effort, driven from the top, to encourage and foster innovation. Where mistakes are relentlessly punished, no-one will be prepared to try new ideas. And if the finance function is not viewed as a source of innovation by the rest of the management team, it will be extremely difficult to articulate the value of innovation within it and make a case for investment.

To make the case for innovative investment, CFOs and their senior finance executives need to be able to measure the return on investment (ROI), but there is very little agreement on how to go about it. Only a quarter of CFOs believe traditional methods of ROI are suitable measures of innovation success, and they don't capture adequately the intangible benefits of digital innovation.

Sometimes the obstacles to innovation success come down to regional differences in culture. The survey shows that North American organizations are less risk averse, while European companies are held back by the perceived risk of failure. Europe was the most conservative in its approach to innovation, had more issues with finding top talent and was less able to make a business case for innovation than their North American peers. Obviously each business is different, and there are very successful and innovative organizations in all regions, but it is worth understanding these regional differences when CFOs look to tackle the obstacles in their path. That is when they have time...

A tangible benefit of digital innovation is time, but it is also a major reason why innovation is neglected. 67% of CFOs and their senior finance executives say that too many of their resources are tied up with legacy systems and traditional ways of working, leaving little time to innovate. Time is a well-documented benefit of technology investment. Automation frees up finance professionals for more value-added roles, and the new innovations in financial technology, like robotic process automation and machine learning are improving insights and clearing the way for the finance function to become a strategic contributor to the business.

If these obstacles are not overcome, the finance function is in danger of falling behind the rest of the organization and the consequence of this is that organizations itself risks getting left behind by its competitors.

Real world innovation

Successful innovation requires three main ingredients – people, process and technology. Technology may get the most amount of airplay, but without the people and processes to make the best use of technology, innovation projects will fail to produce the desired results. To drive change, organizations need innovation champions and a dedicated budget to most prudently and effectively spend it.

Ultimately innovation depends on context. Where companies are still struggling with legacy systems and outdated methods, innovation looks like a little bit of process automation and a simple improvement in document handling. For around 40% innovation is a new ERP or performance management system. Where companies have already implemented cloud systems that smooth the processes of the finance function, then innovation looks like a new accounting robot or an analytical engine that can learn to uncover insights independently.

Wherever they are along the finance function continuum, senior finance executives recognize the need for innovation and must find the time, cultural imperative and success measures to embrace change and stay competitive.

Finance leaders are often caught in a vicious cycle. They lack the time to invest in innovation, but are unable to release time because they haven't innovated. It takes bold leadership to break this cycle. Finance leaders need to make the case for investment in the back office, highlighting both immediate performance returns but also the amelioration of future risk, and the less immediately tangible benefits like greater insight and adaptability.



Chapter 1

Big difference in attitudes to innovation across the world

Risk is inherent in innovation.
Attitudes to risk often define rates of innovation.

Big difference in attitudes to innovation across the world

Globalization has leveled the playing field for most organizations, enabling them to sell their wares or services around the world, and offering them the same opportunities and technologies as their regional competitors. But they don't all approach these opportunities in the same way. This survey has very clearly identified major differences in attitudes to innovation around the world. These reflect the cultural undercurrents of national identity and attitudes towards business and change. They are most stark on either side of the Atlantic, where America is the least risk averse while Europe is held back by the perceived risk of failure.

Just 31% of North Americans take a conservative approach to innovation, preferring instead to experiment with and try out new technologies when they become available. This compares to half of Europeans, 49% of Middle Eastern companies and 48% of Africans and South Americans, who believe their approach to innovation is too conservative. Asia Pacific respondents fell squarely between these two camps, with 38% conservative and risk averse.

The cultural reasons behind this are manifold, but fear of making mistakes is a contributory factor. Only 8% of respondents from Europe rejected the notion that "staff are afraid to make mistakes, leading to innovative ideas being shelved". Almost double the number of North Americans disagreed with this statement, suggesting they are far less likely to fear failure, and may even use it to propel innovation success by using mistakes as a basis to learn and progress.

The adventurous spirit of the North Americans means they are most likely to be first to try new technology, with 33% identifying themselves as "early adopters of technology, with an active culture of innovation, across the entire enterprise", compared to just 19% of European finance executives.

The noticeable differences in attitude extend across the globe. South America has the biggest problem with cultural failings and in-house politics, with 67% citing these factors as stumbling blocks to innovation in the finance function. North America found these the least challenging (40%) while 48% of European executives also found these issues problematic.

EUROPE MOST CONSERVATIVE, WITH NORTH AMERICA MOST INNOVATIVE & EXPERIMENTAL



Just 31% of North American take a conservative approach to innovation. (Europe 50%, Africa 48%, Asia Pac 38%, South America 48% Middle East 49%).

FEAR OF MAKING MISTAKES HOLDS BACK TWICE AS MANY COMPANIES IN EUROPE THAN NORTH AMERICA



8% of European CFOs strongly disagreed "staff are afraid to make mistakes leading to innovative ideas being shelved", while almost double (15%) of North American CFOs strongly disagreed.

People power

Technology alone cannot engender innovation, it has to come from the right people and their attitudes to change. Half of Europeans cited lack of technology-savvy talent as an obstacle to innovation in the finance function, compared with just over a third in North America. Certainly, the universities and businesses in Silicon Valley are renowned for incubating this sort of expertise, but it isn't confined to California. Across the entire US, there is a much wider pool of talent from which to draw on, and arguably more of a culture of nurturing from within.

Ultimately though, finance executives have to convince the rest of the C-Suite that investing in finance innovation will deliver tangible returns, which means making the best business case for this use of resources. The survey points to North and South America as best placed to do this. Just 21% of North Americans and 19% of South Americans said the inability to make a compelling business case for change was holding them back on their finance innovation journey. By contrast 37% of Europeans faced this stumbling block.

It's not easy to face up to your own shortcomings, but there are clearly substantial cultural hindrances to innovation in the more conservative regions of the world. That's not to disparage those who choose to venture more cautiously into the unknown, but innovation, however it is implemented, is essential to remain relevant and competitive. More than that though, as the survey will show, there are major advantages to be gained from being early to the innovation table.

NORTH & SOUTH AMERICA SAY THEY ARE BETTER ABLE TO MAKE A BUSINESS CASE



Just 21% North America and 19% South America highlighted making a business case for innovation as a stumbling block to innovation vs Europe 37%

European respondents report a lack of access to talent as one of the critical factors blocking innovation, but it will always be hard to attract the right talent without a culture of innovation supported by c-suite leadership and critically, investment. Innovation has to be treated holistically if it is to succeed. If you can't tackle everything at once, start with individual projects and use these to slowly build up a culture and demonstrate a track record of success.

CASE STUDY 1:

Hyman Robertson Improves Accuracy of Financial Planning via Automation

Hymans Robertson is an award-winning actuarial consultancy. Over the years, its finance team had come to rely on Excel for budgeting, forecasting, and reporting. To automate business processes, better analyse performance, and further partner with business units, they knew that they required access to better technology.

Using Prophix's software, Hymans has improved the accuracy of planning by accessing data directly from its Aderant ERP and reduced the risk of collecting and consolidating data from multiple sources. Rather than having employees from various departments send them spreadsheets to consolidate, Hymans has automated the budget submission and review process. The department has also begun to output reports in standardised formats without having to rely on time-consuming edits and other preparations.

"I love working with different parts of the business. It's not just number-crunching; in Finance we help to drive decision making. I think that using a tool like the software from Prophix will play a big role in this."

Ester Hillen, Management Accountant, Hymans Robertson



Chapter 2

Early adopters of innovation perform better


There are leaders and there are
laggards. Which do you want to be?

Early adopters of innovation perform better

In the context of a fast-paced, competitive market environment, innovation seems such an obvious and necessary focus for any business, and yet 65% of organizations are not actively committed to it. The laggards, who make up 11% of survey respondents, “rarely if ever discuss innovation and don’t have time to devote to it”. Another 54% are uncommitted innovators who would like to be more innovative but rarely get the time, funding or support from the rest of the board to invest in finance process innovation.

At least there are the 11% who are early adopters of technology within the finance function. These committed innovators have recognized the importance of being innovative, but have limited it to their own sphere of influence. The remaining 23% are even more dedicated to innovation across the business. True Innovators are those finance functions that are “early adopters of technology, have an active culture of innovation, make time for it, reward innovation and play an active role in innovation across the entire enterprise.”

65% of organizations are not actively committed to innovation



True innovators outperform their competitors across all 3 dimensions; speed to reforecast, forecasting accuracy and time to close the books.

One would expect an innovative organization to have some edge on their competitors, and the survey bears this out, with significant advantages on three key performance metrics. True Innovators in the finance function are able to reforecast quicker, close the books faster and forecast more accurately than their less innovative competitors. 66% of true innovators were able to reforecast within one week, compared with 59% of committed innovators, 53% of those uncommitted to innovation and 57% of laggards.

True Innovators also do better at closing the books quickly. 30% can close the books within three days, compared with 28% of committed innovators, 21% uncommitted, and just 17% of laggards. The results are equally stark for accuracy of forecasting. 55% of true innovators could forecast revenue to within +/- 5%, compared with just 45% of committed innovators, 42% of the uncommitted innovators and 31% of laggards.

The significant difference in performance indicators is not the only concern laggards and innovation poor companies need to worry about. 63% of organizations that don't discuss innovation believe they are in danger of their finance processes falling behind the rest of the business. This compares to just 16% of early technology adopters.

Laggards are let down by a culture of indifference, one in which they fear making mistakes, ideas are not shared across the business and their own leadership has failed to steer an innovation course.

Laggards are more than twice as likely as true innovators to say too many of their resources are tied up with legacy systems and traditional ways of working, and 61% of laggards are afraid to make mistakes, thus shelving innovation. This compares with just 14% of true innovators, who have long ago realized that mistakes are part of the process of innovation, not a sign of its failure.

Only 9% of early technology adopters fail to share innovation skills and ideas across the business, but 63% of laggards face this significant challenge in their organization.

Getting innovative projects off the mark invariably requires management buy in, but more than half of laggards say that innovation is not a priority for their leadership team compared with 17% of true innovators.

Clearly the leadership team is part of the driving force behind innovation, but they also need technology-savvy talent to navigate the often arduous process of change. Whether as a consequence of their tardiness towards innovation or the reason behind it, 58% of laggards cited lack of talent in the finance organization as a stumbling block to innovation. Uncommitted innovators similarly pleaded a dearth of talent in 55% of cases, while 40% of committed innovators found this an issue. A mere 18% of true innovators struggled to find well qualified people to lead their innovative projects.

Innovative companies attract innovative people. Almost 90% of senior finance executives would relish the opportunity to lead a truly innovative project, and 73% would even change organizations to be more involved in innovation.

A tech-savvy workforce and a culture of learning, sharing and making mistakes are part of the footprint of an innovative organization. But true innovators also exhibit a balanced approach to technology, they strive for additional insight and they know how to measure their innovation success.

There's no question innovation takes effort, and in many companies the day to day running of the business takes precedent over what may be seen as a luxury they cannot afford. But the cost of ignoring innovation may turn out to be higher than the price of it.

The need to innovate in the finance function can no longer be ignored, 63% of organizations that don't discuss innovation believe they are in danger of their finance processes falling behind the rest of the business.

In these days of accelerated change, to find just a third of organizations committed to innovation is concerning. Every organization and its leaders need to decide if they are in business for the short term or committed to sustained success. If it's the latter then investment in innovation is critical, and it needs to be constant. The longevity of established business models is declining constantly, and a well-equipped finance team is one of the most vital tools in helping organizations to navigate this more challenging reality.

CASE STUDY 2:

Betsi Cadwaladr University Health Board Build Sophisticated Modeling Scenarios

“Everything was in a slightly different format. We couldn’t review decisions after the fact. There was no master database, no audit trail. We couldn’t easily get an overview of how the individual budget spreadsheets had been calculated. We wanted a way to make the process more consistent, quicker and just better. A single record.”

Ian Jones Head of Financial Reporting Betsi Cadwaladr University Health Trust

Betsi Cadwaladr University Health Board is the largest health organization in Wales, providing a full range of primary, community, mental health and acute hospital services for a population of around 678,000 people. The organization implemented Prophix’s Corporate Performance Management system, initially to tackle staff planning. Staff budgets are 75-80% of the Board’s expenditure.

With Prophix, the Board can model future scenarios, such as the impact of pay awards. “It’s very simple to copy data into a new scenario and start to play about to see what might happen. You can have dozens of different scenarios with quite sophisticated modeling – we can pin down the impact of pay awards to individual posts if needs be,” said Ian Jones, head of financial reporting. The next step is automating the production of monitoring returns for the Welsh Government and developing more in-depth analysis. “With the increasing requirements and evolving reporting requirements, being able to make the changes once will save a huge amount of time.”



Chapter 3

Front and back – A balanced approach to innovation drives best overall performance


Imbalanced investment creates risk and undermines investments in the customer-facing technology.

Front and back – A balanced approach to innovation drives best overall performance

Being just one online shopping click away from a designer handbag or a pint of milk has immeasurably changed the face of retail. Businesses have to fight harder to attract and maintain customers either online or in store and this has forced them to focus on customer-facing systems. They have implemented customer relationship management (CRM) systems, web analytics and digital marketing in order to compete effectively against the digital behemoths like Amazon as well as the nimble start-ups disrupting the sector. Even in non-retail organizations, the focus has been squarely on attracting new customers, sometimes to the neglect of back office systems and often to the detriment of performance.

According to this survey, 49% of senior finance executives said “most of the innovation has been in customer-facing processes first (for example CRM, Web analytics, digital marketing social capability, chat)” with back-office systems trailing behind. 12% said the opposite, with innovation in the back-office finance function first, with customer-facing processes trailing behind. A further 11% of experimental organizations had very little strategy, with investment in isolated innovation initiatives with no clear strategic direction or impact.

Just 28% of organizations apply a balanced approach to innovation say CFOs



Only 28% applied a balanced approach to investment, strategically selecting both customer-facing systems and back-office finance processes for innovative investment. Previous research (Future of the Finance Function 2016) has pointed to a lack of commitment to linking front and back office functions, but also showed that companies that have prioritized this link alongside standardization and automation had more time to spend on value-added activities, made quicker, more informed decisions and had a better view of organizational performance. This innovation survey bears out these advantages, demonstrating that in at least two of three key performance metrics, a balanced approach is best, leading to better accuracy of forecasting and faster reforecasting.

Those organizations with a balanced approach to innovation outperform on both time to reforecast and accuracy of the forecast. Organizations with a finance focused approach a able to close their books fastest.

64% of organizations with a balanced approach to investment are able to reforecast within 1 week, compared with 55% of customer-facing and finance-led investors, and only 48% of experimental investors. In addition, 52% of balanced investors are able to forecast revenue to within +/- 5%, compared with 44% of customer-facing investors, 38% of finance-led investors and 34% of experimental investors.

Closing the books

The performance measure where finance-focused investment led the way was in the time it takes to close the books – but only just. 64% of organizations who had focused their investment on back office systems were able to close their books within 5 days, compared with 59% of balanced investors, 50% of customer-facing investors and 49% of experimental investors. This could suggest finance-led investment tends towards the traditional areas of accountancy, which help to automate reconciliation, rather than in the planning, budgeting and forecasting areas of finance, which would improve accuracy of insight.

A balance of cultures

In addition to driving better overall performance, balanced technology innovators are also better at nurturing a culture of innovation. Only 18% said innovation wasn't a priority of leadership, compared with 48% of the experimental group, while only 14% of the balanced investors said innovative ideas and skills weren't shared, compared with 35% of respondents who were more ad hoc and experimental in their approach to technology investment. A quarter were concerned that the rate of innovation was too slow and a similar percentage were afraid to make mistakes and so shelved innovative ideas. This compares to 61% and 43% of experimental investors respectively.

Balanced organizations are more likely to view themselves as true innovators, or early adopters of technology, likely because they have already laid the foundations for innovation in the finance function.

Perhaps most tellingly though, 44% of balanced investors worried that too many of their resources were tied up with legacy systems and traditional ways of working. This was considerably less than investors in customer-facing systems at 73%, finance-led investors at 79% and experimental investors at 81%. The implication is that balanced technology investors are already addressing legacy systems, recognizing the limitations of both front and back office processes, and implementing the right technology to improve the efficacy of their resources.

Building the foundations of innovation

Balanced organizations are more likely to view themselves as true innovators, or early adopters of technology, likely because they have already laid the foundations for innovation in the finance function. Just 38% of balanced tech investors said cultural failings and in-house politics were a concern, compared with 60% of customer-facing tech investors, 69% of experimental investors and 71% of finance-led investors.

They were less likely to be risk averse, less likely to suffer from a lack of talent, and less likely to have trouble making a compelling business case for change, than any of the other investment types. Just 30% said their finance function was not perceived to be innovative, whereas around half of all other investor types expressed this concern. And while at least half of all respondents were concerned about not having teams dedicated to innovation, balanced investors were significantly less worried about this than the other investor types.

Driving insight

Organizations all have different priorities and are at different stages of their journey so it makes sense that a company's approach to technology investment will be driven by a variety of motivations. But it is telling that 41% of organizations with a balanced approach to investment felt innovation was most needed to drive better insights about the business. Given twelve relevant options, insight ranked highest for balanced investors. By contrast customer-facing investors felt innovation was most needed to better support the customer experience, finance-led technology ranked improvements in finance function productivity as their most needed innovation, and experimental investors were looking to improve the robustness and dependability of


Decision-making.

The results suggest that each type of investor is at a different stage of their journey, with finance and experimental investors focusing on process improvement, still some way from being able to take advantage of insight-driven change. Meanwhile customer-facing technology investors are in an echo-chamber of customer improvement, ignoring the performance enhancements of a more balanced approach. In fact, a balanced investment approach can lead to a better customer experience as well, by linking front and back office to expedite queries, improving demand forecasts to ensure uninterrupted supply and improving efficiencies so that resources can be invested in the customer.

Insight is fast becoming the key differentiator in a crowded and competitive market. Yes, organizations must provide a great customer experience, high productivity and solid decision-making, but these days that will only maintain the status quo. Insight, used insightfully, will push companies to the forefront of their industry, and to do that they must balance their technology investment at both ends.

Insight is fast becoming the key differentiator in a crowded and competitive market.

Dominant investment priority by approach to innovation



Balanced investment in innovation generally signifies that a company is operating with a clear strategic goal, not chasing short-term wins, or worse, fire-fighting because of historical under-investment. But not every company is going to get there overnight: sometimes targeted investments are needed to overcome clear risks and weaknesses. The key is to ensure these projects are tackled within the context of a wider strategy and that the critical role of the back office – particularly finance – is understood by all stakeholders across the business.

CASE STUDY 3:

Myriad Refine Predictive Function with Automated Budgeting & Forecasting

Collaboration between business teams is a critical outcome of finance innovation:

“By passing the ad hoc reporting functions out to the user community, we were able to focus on analysis and this is something we would have never accomplished in our old Hyperion system,”

Nathan McCurdy, Myriad’s Senior Financial Analyst.

Myriad Genetics is a leading, global molecular diagnostic company. With Prophix, Myriad produces automated budgets and forecasts in multiple currencies that serve a predictive function. This allows business leaders to foresee the results of an infinite number of scenarios. The finance team has also reduced their month-end close process by approximately two days.

Myriad is able to react adeptly to external market conditions, which can change particularly fast. When Angelina Jolie announced that she had undergone a preventative double mastectomy after genetic testing indicated that she had an 87% risk of developing breast cancer, it was Myriad Genetics that developed the test. Public interest developed virtually overnight. The company needed to revise their budgets and forecasts within one week of completing previous versions, and developed these redrafts with ease.



Chapter 4

Finance needs insightful innovation

The right systems can stop you dealing with data and focus your time on information.

85% of CFOs and their senior finance executives believe innovation is needed to drive better insights about the business.

Finance needs insightful innovation

The finance function is clearly in need of innovation, but if CFOs can make the case for channeling resources into this often under-invested department, there remains the question of where and what to invest in. For most respondents, the answer is insight. 85% of CFOs and their senior finance executives believe innovation is needed to drive better insights about the business. This reflects the changing nature of the finance function from accounting powerhouse to strategic leader. Finance sits at the confluence of all the business functions, and is best-placed to offer the sort of insight that can give organizations a competitive edge.

The survey found that the second reason the finance function needs innovation is to accelerate the provision of accurate management information. This begs the question “what sort of management information is currently being generated, and how accurate is it?” This information is a fundamental requirement of any business and it implies that in many organizations, the process of generating management information may be outdated and inefficient.

Improving the robustness and dependability of decision-making was third on senior finance executives’ list of most needed innovation for the finance function. This also raises questions about the current robustness of decision-making in some organizations, and suggests some fundamental shortfalls in organizational information exchange.

Knowledge and talent

There is ready technology available to meet all of these finance innovation needs, but in almost half of cases there is a problem with finding the right people. 45% of CFOs and senior finance executives cited a lack of technology-savvy talent in the finance function as an obstacle to innovation.

Thankfully, executives themselves seem comfortable with at least some of the insight-driving technologies, most notably data visualization where 59% are either knowledgeable or very knowledgeable, as well as predictive analytics, which was cumulatively understood by 45% of respondents.

Where they struggle is with the newer technologies like machine learning, artificial intelligence and accounting robots, which are widely touted but not well-understood. These technologies may offer the greatest transformative potential but CFOs are yet to grasp how they could directly aid their finance function. Blockchain and Cryptocurrency languished below the knowledge line for most finance executives, and this will likely only change when the definitive application of this technology becomes more mainstream.

There is a clearly need for innovation in the finance function, most urgently to generate business insights, but also ensure management information is robust enough to make well-informed decisions. Finance executives appear most knowledgeable about technology that can help them gain this insight, now it is a matter of finding the resources to invest in them.

45% of CFOs and senior finance executives cited a lack of technology-savvy talent in the finance function as an obstacle to innovation.

With almost half of respondents complaining of a lack of technology-savvy talent in the finance function, we really have to ask ourselves what is keeping such people out of finance? Why are they all attracted elsewhere? Finance should offer clear and accelerated career paths for the brightest tech talent, built around innovation projects that can deliver clear strategic value to the business.

CASE STUDY 4:

Pace Utilise Prophix for Innovative Business Modeling

“At Prophix we’ve been trying to practice what we preach about creating career paths for tech-savvy finance professionals to attract them into the industry and give them room to grow once they’re there. This applies to our own recruitment for consultants, and to our engagement with education and continuing professional development, providing resources for people at all levels in the office of finance.”

Daniel Mason, Managing Director UK, Prophix

Pace is a leading creative agency that develops integrated experiences for a diverse client base of some of the world’s most iconic brands. The company uses Prophix for innovative business modeling that brings together information from finance and the rest of the business, allowing its Office of Finance to track and analyze company performance.

Since implementing Prophix, Pace Communications has built a number of models including financial, personnel and time-tracking. Pace’s financial model seamlessly consolidates data from other Prophix models to produce its annual budget and speed up their month-end reporting process. This model also includes driver-based calculations. For example, overhead allocations based on revenue percentage.



Chapter 5

Innovation interference - no culture, no time, no measurement

The lack of time for innovation is a vicious cycle. How will you break it?

Innovation interference - no culture, no time, no measurement

Building a successful company and maintaining that success in the face of intense competition requires continuous innovation, but there are many obstacles on the path to success. The most common and widespread obstructions are an unresponsive and stifling culture that shies away from change, a lack of time to focus on change, and the difficulty of measuring the success of innovation.

Culture clash

34% of CFOs say they are too afraid of making mistakes so they shelve innovative ideas to avoid errors.

Innovation doesn't just happen, it needs to be cultivated, nurtured and encouraged, which means taking measured risks and not fearing failure. But 45% of CFOs and senior finance executives believe their approach to innovation is too conservative, lamenting that "we do not experiment or pilot new technologies". And 34% are too afraid to make mistakes so they shelve innovative ideas to avoid errors.

A culture of innovation needs to permeate the organization, but in 27% of organizations surveyed, innovative ideas and skills are not shared with other functions or business units.

And in almost half of organizations, cultural failings and in-house politics block the path to change. Unfortunately, 29% of respondents say innovation is not a priority of leadership, which immediately stymies innovation from all angles, because often these ideas come from people at the coalface of the finance function who find their suggestions rejected further up the management line.

45% of the senior finance executives say they struggle to present finance process improvement as innovation.

The finance function itself is a significant source of obstacles to successful innovation. Almost half of CFOs say initiatives that originate from within the finance function are not seen as innovative by the rest of the management team. That may be because in 45% of cases the senior finance executives themselves do not present finance process improvement as innovation. And the cause of that may be that 44% struggle to articulate the value of innovation in the finance function.

In some cases the executive team fail to nurture a culture of innovation across the board, and in other cases the finance function itself fails to convince the executive leadership of its innovative worth. Whatever the obstacle, cultural buy-in is the only way to ensure ideas are followed through to implementation.

Time after time

Time, or rather the lack of it, is a recurrent theme in the lament of the finance executive. The first Future of the Finance Function 2016 survey found that two-thirds of CFOs and senior finance executives were unable to focus on process improvements and innovation because they had no time, and subsequent surveys have echoed this sentiment with depressing regularity.

In this survey, 11% of organizations rarely if ever discuss innovation and don't have time to devote to it, while 54% would like to be more innovative but don't have the time, funding or support to do so. Unfortunately, the only way to free up time is to implement innovative improvements into their finance function, which they don't have time to focus on. 67% of respondents said too many of their resources are tied up with legacy systems and traditional ways of working.

CFOs are the final custodians of regulatory results. When processes are outdated and results are untrustworthy, CFOs and their senior management team will use their time to review the figures to ensure accuracy, instead of on value-added strategic pursuits, like innovation.

Measuring success

CFOs and senior finance executives already find it hard to present process improvement as innovation and articulate the value of it within the finance function, and their job is made all the harder because of the difficulty of measuring their success. Just a quarter of CFOs believe traditional methods of Return on Investment (ROI) are a suitable measure of innovation success.

58% don't have an agreed method of evaluating ROI on technology driven initiatives.

In fact, 70% say these methods do not capture adequately the intangible benefits of digital innovation. And 58% don't have an agreed method of evaluating ROI on technology driven initiatives. This is a substantial stumbling block for any innovative project, because resource allocation needs to be justified on the basis of its benefits, and no C-suite will agree to new projects when there is no measure of success.

The immediate returns on an automation project might be manpower cost savings, but the intangible benefits might include improved customer satisfaction. Being able to measure these benefits, however they manifest, will increase the likelihood of the finance function receiving its fair share of innovative investment.

41% of CFOs and senior finance executives say that the lack of agreement on how to measure technology innovation is delaying investment decisions, and they are at risk of falling behind the market.

Falling behind

With so many obstacles to innovation in the finance function, many organizations fail to achieve any sort of change. But the consequences of this failure can be far-reaching, because in those cases finance functions are in danger of falling behind the rest of the organization, or holding it back.

41% of CFOs and senior finance executives say that the lack of agreement on how to measure technology innovation is delaying investment decisions, and they are at risk of falling behind the market. 45% say their rate of innovation is too slow and they risk getting left behind their competitors. And 36% are concerned that their finance processes are in danger of not keeping up with the rest of the business.

Falling at any one of the hurdles could mean being overtaken by more innovative competitors. Organizations need strong leadership to drive a strategy and culture of innovation, and over half of respondents believe the CFO is best positioned to lead innovation in the finance function. If the CFO doesn't step up, the entire organization may suffer as a consequence.

Fear of failure is one of the greatest challenges to innovation. But no innovation ultimately presents a much greater risk than any failed experiment. In fact, it guarantees that you will be outpaced by the competition, it starves leadership of critical information to which they could have access, and it embeds continued risks in manual processes. Experimentation is cheaper and easier now than it ever has been, and financial technology is becoming easier and easier to use. It's time to overcome the fear.

CASE STUDY 5:

Pro-active Analysis Made Simple for Copenhagen Business School

Copenhagen Business School (CBS), one of Europe's largest specialized business universities, struggled to complete salary and project budgeting. After implementing Prophix, CBS was able to build a range of budgeting models that enabled the office of finance to pro-actively analyze its expanding operations.

The office of finance uses Prophix to conduct activity-based budgeting and costing, as well as generate rolling forecasts on a quarterly basis. They have direct access to student tuition numbers and can generate forecasts on-demand through a direct integration with their ERP, Microsoft Dynamics NAV. This process has saved CBS a lot of time, allowing the university's staff members to conduct valuable analysis. Aligning their sources of financial information with Prophix's advanced tools has allowed CBS's Office of Finance to automate their business processes. They now enjoy a single source of financial truth from which they can evaluate their operations

"What does the rest of the business expect from finance? Sometimes it's hard to justify investment in tomorrow based on yesterday's expectations. The first step in justifying investment in finance innovation is to establish the role in your organization of a modern finance function. To help you, Prophix has developed a guide to building a modern finance function. Find it at future-ready-finance.com."

James Hanson, VP Business Development, Prophix



Chapter 6

The recipe for successful innovation

Balanced investment is the key to
successful innovation

The recipe for successful innovation

There is no silver bullet that will eradicate the obstacles to innovation in the finance function. Instead there are several ingredients that organizations need to add to the corporate mix in order to deliver real change. The key ingredients reflect the golden triangle of systems success, namely people, process and technology.

87% of survey respondents said they needed people capable of implementing change in order to innovate successfully.

For CFOs and their senior finance executives, people top the list of ingredients for successful innovation in the finance function. 87% of survey respondents said they needed people capable of implementing change, someone to manage and drive the processes and technology that will effect the change.

Technology followed second, with 66% of CFOs believing that innovation success requires utilizing the full potential of technology. Process came in a close third, with 59% of senior finance executives needing to ensure a marked improvement in productivity and finance function effectiveness to push forward with innovation projects.

Too often these days technology is lauded as the saviour of businesses, and it's true to say the momentous developments in technology over the last decades have changed the corporate landscape beyond recognition. But it is heartening to see that CFOs and senior finance executives recognize the vital role played by experienced agents of change. Because without the right people, major projects are unlikely to come to fruition on spec, on time and on budget.

Driving change

When asked what is the best way to drive innovation forward in the finance function, survey respondents ranked a 'dedicated innovation champion' as their highest priority. This underpins the powerful acknowledgment of people at the apex of the change hierarchy.

Next on the priority list to drive innovation was a dedicated budget for innovative ideas. This obviously requires senior management to make the case for investment in finance innovation, and the recognition from the rest of the C-suite that the returns justify the resources.

Incorporating innovation objectives into personal appraisals ranked third for drivers of change, and this goes to the heart of cultural buy-in for innovation across the organization. But it must be driven from the very top of the organization, permeating the ranks from senior management downwards, instilling a culture of innovation and tying it to personal development goals.

CFOs top 3 priorities to drive change:

- 1. Dedicated innovation champion*
 - 2. Dedicated innovation budget*
 - 3. Incorporating innovation objectives into personal appraisals*
-

The fourth priority for innovation – recruiting individuals with a track record of innovation- split the vote. 17% of CFOs ranked this their number one method of driving innovation, while 23% ranked it in sixth place. This is clearly a polarizing point, one which hinges on recruitment and experience. Some CFOs may feel the need to bring in new talent that has already managed major change projects, whereas others may feel they have the right people internally who know the business and can run the project. Unsurprisingly, laggards are the most keen to bring in somebody who has done it before, perhaps reflecting a desperate attempt to break out of their predicament of negligible innovation.

The final ingredient for success is how to measure it, because without an accurate measure, there is no way to know if the investment has been worth the substantial and disruptive effort. 58% of senior finance executives said they don't have an agreed method of evaluating return on investment on technology-driven initiatives, which may mean some projects never get started, and those that do go ahead aren't easily evaluated.

You need people to implement technology designed to improve processes. Without all three elements, there can be no change to measure.

Innovative people are attracted to an innovative environment where there is a clear prospect that they can make a difference to the organization. Without this, the best salary packages in the world won't attract the brightest talent. An innovation champion may be part of the recipe, but they can only succeed with the support of the rest of the organization, and broad acceptance from all levels that change is required.

CASE STUDY 6:

Wastequip Enjoys a Single Version of the Financial Truth

Wastequip, North America's largest manufacturer of waste handling and recycling equipment, struggled to streamline the data from its 25 manufacturing locations across the continent, making it difficult to answer important questions about the business.

The company's executive leadership sought out a Corporate Performance Management (CPM) software solution to help them effectively analyze their sales data in multiple report formats. In addition, Wastequip's management required workflow functionality that would allow them to track the movement of important financial information throughout the organization.

With Prophix, Wastequip eliminated duplicate customer information across their source systems. Using this information, Wastequip built a sales model that would allow them to monitor the company's sales performance from a multitude of angles including product SKUs, two different reporting currencies, 25 active facilities, multiple territories and business lines. Wastequip now enjoys a single version of financial truth.

"It is a strategic choice to be an innovative organization, one that welcomes new opportunities to improve rather than shying away from change. You can change the culture by bringing in new people, or by creating space for people inside the business, but ultimately someone has to take the first step. Sometimes leadership will come from the c-suite, but if it doesn't how might you catalyze change?"

Daniel Mason, Managing Director, UK, Prophix

Chapter 7

In their own words
- what innovation
means to finance
professionals


In their own words - what innovation means to finance professionals

Innovation means different things to different people, depending on where they are on their journey to the finance function of the future. 250 survey participants took the time to describe actual examples of innovation in their businesses, and the comments reflect the wide-ranging views of CFOs and senior finance executives at different stages of their journey. Recognizing this diversity of views, the survey didn't seek to define innovation. Innovation is in the 'eye of beholder' and many describe quite modest developments as innovation.

The largest proportion (35%) described innovation that related to small steps in automation and integration rather than wholesale change to completely new systems. These incremental changes were extremely diverse, including the automation of tasks in the monthly close; a move to self-service accounting; remodeling the accounts payable process, and for a few, the implementation of time and expenses management.

Any innovation is clearly better than none at all, but it does mean a third of respondents who described their own innovation journey remain shackled to legacy systems and have to be content with small innovations that deal with particular pain points in their core financial process.

250 participants took the time to tell us about examples of innovation in their businesses



“Do you need a new ERP system? So often, innovation is held up while people make the case for large investment to fix supposedly ‘broken’ core platforms. But modern software architecture means the user experience can be divorced from the data itself. Incremental investments can rapidly create a more functional system without the political challenge of securing a wholesale upgrade.”

Encouragingly, 20% said they had undertaken a complete ERP replacement and classed this as a major innovative step. Cloud was only mentioned a handful of times, which may mean that respondents felt this was not particularly noteworthy, or that they had settled for an on-premise implementation.

A further 20% chose to describe innovation projects that delivered management insight. The implementation of budgeting and planning tools featured prominently, as did business intelligence tools and dashboards. But the motivation in the majority of cases was delivery of better key performance indicators and analytical capability. Cloud implementation featured more strongly in this category, perhaps because of a greater choice of cloud-based applications, or the relative ease of implementing management information projects in the cloud, compared with ERP in the cloud.

For 10% of respondents, innovation was about reporting, and the comments tended to focus on the speed of delivery, with an emphasis on real-time reporting. For example, “more digitization of finance information and less reliance on paper”, “fast close and reporting by work day two” and “integrated real-time management and financial reporting systems.”

Disappointingly, only 8% of comments stood out as unexpectedly innovative and at the leading edge of developments. These innovators were more inclined to point out that innovation isn’t only about the latest ‘whizzy’ technology. For some, innovation was about organizational change in the finance function, for example “a new finance team structure with better Financial Planning & Analysis and faster month-end closing”. For others, it meant “reorganization of the finance function resulting in the responsibilities of 80% of the finance function changing, creating roles that were more value-added and efficient, together with a 10% headcount reduction.”

One respondent created “an innovation office”, while another introduced “weekly improvement initiatives”. And one ambitious organization established a “business finance college”. This initiative led to a “ground-breaking program for the Business Finance Function and Finance Business Partners, aimed at changing the culture in the finance organization and increasing direct and indirect value creation from the finance function.”

A few executives are clearly further along their finance function journey, describing innovation that reflects the cutting edge of technology, such as “the use of artificial intelligence (IBM’s Watson) in forecasting”, the “utilization of robotics to perform processes and create reports”, and the “creation of interactive presentations of financial information to make it easier for the management to interpret”.

This vast and varied range of personal experiences of innovation reflects a finance function continuum, with traditional legacy systems at one end and cutting edge technology at the other. And if a finance function has always used spreadsheet-bound systems for budgeting or reporting then implementing a specialized budgeting application or an ERP system is truly innovative – it is a major step-change. But for now, the scales are tipped towards organizations that can only manage incremental innovations, mired in time-consuming legacy systems, plastering over the most difficult processes with small fixes. But the tide is turning slowly. Major ERP upgrades are often the catalyst for more wider system changes, and the focus on insight-driving innovation bodes well for strategic management decision-making. There may only be a few unexpectedly innovative experiences, but as new technology becomes more mainstream, and CFOs begin to realize the necessity of constant innovation, the scales will swing the other way.

The two largest categories of investment in innovation represent very different priorities. 30% have invested in upgrading their ERP system, the basic plumbing of the modern enterprise. And the same proportion have invested in insight, extracting more value from existing investments. There's an understandable instinct to invest in the foundations first. But often this instinct should be overcome. While ageing ERP systems may not offer the greatest functionality or ease of use, they often remain solid data stores. More value can be extracted by adding new, more intuitive systems to manipulate and present that data, rather than battling through the pain of complete replacement.

CASE STUDY 7:

Performance Reporting with ease at Nutricia Export B.V

“Prophix has helped us with the unique requirements of our industry by allowing us to configure the solution exactly in line with our required business model.”

Paul Hehenkamp Supply Chain Director

Nutricia Export B.V. develops markets for the distribution of Danone Baby Nutrition products. With a constant need for insight into their growing company’s information, the business leaders at Nutricia Export realized that advanced CPM software would enable them to monitor sales and inventory levels, and to forecast for their network of global distributors.

A major feature of Nutricia Export’s solution involves Prophix’s interaction with its ERP system, which holds the company’s transactional data. Prophix exports this data into reports, which distributors around the globe can review with ease. At the head office level, Prophix generates local sales and stock reports, and well as workflow progress reports that allow business leaders to monitor the status of their financial processes. With increased access to data, which also arrives to them at a faster pace than before, leaders now have a clear view of their company’s performance.




Methodology

METHODOLOGY


The survey drew responses from 1,037 international senior finance professionals from our 51,000 strong FSN Modern Finance Forum on LinkedIn.

This survey covered finance professionals across 23 different industries. 81% of these professionals were considered to have senior job titles and above.


Geography of Respondents



Organizational Size- Number of employees



Industry of Respondents



About Prophix

ABOUT Prophix

[Prophix](#) develops innovative software that automates critical financial processes such as budgeting, planning, consolidation and reporting – improving a company’s profitability and minimizing its risks. Thousands of forward-looking organizations in more than 90 countries use software from Prophix to gain increased visibility and insight into their business performance.

For more information, visit [prophix.com](https://www.prophix.com)

Contact

info@prophix.com

+44 (0) 118 900 1900

<https://www.prophix.com/>



ABOUT FSN

FSN is a global publisher of thought leadership, research and “must-have” content for CFOs and senior finance professionals around the world. FSN’s highly popular and active [Modern Finance Forum](#) on LinkedIn has a membership of more than 51,000 readers in more than 23 countries and across every major industry segment. It is also the publisher of the popular www.fsn.co.uk website and regularly holds networking dinners and events for its members.

Contact:

Gary Simon, CEO: gary.simon@fsn.co.uk

Michelle Fabian: michelle.fabian@fsn.co.uk

HQ Office in United Kingdom
Clarendon House
125, Shenley Road,
Borehamwood,
Herts, WD6 1AG

FSN[®]
The Modern Finance Forum

Switchboard: +44 (0)20 84452688

[The Modern Finance Forum LinkedIn](#)

<http://www.fsn.co.uk>

Disclaimer of Liability

© 2018 FSN Publishing Limited. All rights reserved. FSN is a registered trademark of FSN Publishing Limited (“FSN”). This publication may not be reproduced or distributed, in part or as a whole, in any form without FSN’s prior written permission. This report is exclusively for your personal use and cannot be shared outside your company, or via email, internet posting, social media or other external information storage & retrieval systems.

Whilst every attempt has been made to ensure that the information in this document is accurate and complete some typographical errors or technical inaccuracies may exist. This report is of a general nature and not intended to be specific to a particular set of circumstances. The report contains the views and opinions of FSN Publishing Limited and FSN Publishing Limited make no representations or warranties with respect to the accuracy or completeness of the contents of this report and specifically disclaim any implied warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose. No warranty may be created or extended by sales representatives, or written sales materials. FSN Publishing does not provide advisory services and no part of this research report should be construed or used as such. You should consult with a professional where appropriate. FSN Publishing Limited and the author shall not be liable for any loss of profit or any other commercial damages, including but not limited to special, incidental, consequential, or other damages.